Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

New classes of permutation polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$

Yanbin Zheng · **Pingzhi Yuan** · **Dingyi Pei**

arXiv:1510.02021v2 [math.NT] 14 Oct 2015

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Permutation polynomials (PPs) of the form $(x^q-x+c)^{\frac{q^2-1}{3}+1}+x$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ were presented by Li, Helleseth and Tang [Finite Fields Appl. 22 (2013) 16–23]. More recently, we have constructed PPs of the form $(x^q+bx+c)^{\frac{q^2-1}{d}+1}-bx$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, where $d=2,3,4,6$ [Finite Fields Appl. 35 (2015) 215–230]. In this paper we concentrate our efforts on the PPs of more general form

$$f(x)=(ax^q+bx+c)^r\phi((ax^q+bx+c)^{(q^2-1)/d})+ux^q+vx \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_{q^2},$$

where $r\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\phi(x)\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]$ and d is an arbitrary divisor of q^2-1. The key step is the construction of a commutative diagram with some properties, which is the basis of the AGW criterion. By employing the AGW criterion two times, we reduce the problem of determining whether $f(x)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ to that of verifying whether two more polynomials permute two subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ respectively. Furthermore, we find a series of simple conditions for $f(x)$ to be a permutation polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. These results unify and generalize some known classes of PPs.

Keywords Permutation · Finite field · Commutative diagram · AGW criterion

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) MSC 11T06 · 11T71

1 Introduction

For q a prime power, let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field containing q elements, and $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{F}_q$. A polynomial $f(x)\in\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is called a permutation polynomial (PP) of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if it permutes $\mathbb{F}_q$. Many open problems about permutation polynomials (PPs) over finite fields can be found in [11, 12, 15]. One of these problems is how to construct new classes of PPs. More recently, it has achieved

Y. Zheng · D. Pei
School of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou, China
E-mail: zhengyanbin16@126.com, gztcdpei@scut.edu.cn

P. Yuan
School of Mathematics, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China
E-mail: mcsypz@mail.sysu.edu.cn

significant progress; see for example, [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,21,22,23,24,28,29,30,31,32,33]. A reason for such a rapid development is that PPs have some applications in design theory [14,17], coding theory [5,9,19], cryptography [13,18,20], and other areas of science and engineering [14,16].

Li, Helleseth and Tang presented a class of PPs of the form $(x^q-x+c)^{\frac{q^2-1}{3}+1}+x$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ by using the piecewise method [10]. Later in [27] we employed the AGW criterion to construct PPs of the form similar to

$$P(x)=(x^q+bx+c)^{\frac{q^2-1}{d}+1}-bx \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_{q^2},$$

where $d=2,3,4,6$ and $d \mid q^2-1$. This paper is motivated by the question: when does $P(x)$ permute $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ for $d>6$?

In this paper we continue our investigations by using the AGW criterion, and focus our attention on the PPs of the form

$$f(x)=(ax^q+bx+c)^r\phi((ax^q+bx+c)^{(q^2-1)/d})+ux^q+vx \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \tag{1}$$

where $a,b,c,u,v\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, $r\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\phi(x)$ is an arbitrary polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, and d is an arbitrary divisor of q^2-1. Obviously, $f(x)$ is a generalization of $P(x)$.

1.1 AGW criterion and the reduction of problem

The following lemma was proved in [1] by Akbary, Ghioca and Wang, which is referred to as AGW criterion in [16]. For further results about the AGW criterion, we refer the reader to [25,26,27].

Lemma 1 (AGW criterion) *Let R, S, $\overline{S}$ be finite sets with $\#S=\#\overline{S}$, and let $f:R\to R$, $g:S\to\overline{S}$, $\theta:R\to S$ and $\overline{\theta}:R\to\overline{S}$ be mappings such that $\overline{\theta}\circ f=g\circ\theta$, i.e., the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{f} & R \\ {\scriptstyle\theta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\overline{\theta}} \\ S & \xrightarrow[g]{} & \overline{S} \end{array}$$

If both θ and $\overline{\theta}$ are surjective, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) *f is bijective from R to R (permutes R).*
- (ii) *g is bijective from S to $\overline{S}$ and f is injective on $\theta^{-1}(s)$ for each $s\in S$.*

The AGW criterion gives us a recipe in which one can construct permutations of R from bijections between two smaller sets S and $\overline{S}$. But it requires two conditions $\overline{\theta}\circ f=g\circ\theta$ and $\#S=\#\overline{S}$. For $R=\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and the mapping induced by $P(x)$, it was a hard problem for us to determine θ, $\overline{\theta}$ and g such that the previous two conditions are satisfied. This is the reason we only considered $P(x)$ with $d\le 6$ in [27].

The crucial breakthrough of this paper is that a commutative diagram with a desired property is constructed. More precisely, for $R=\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and the mapping f induced by (1), we find θ, $\overline{\theta}$ and g such that $\overline{\theta}\circ f=g\circ\theta$ and $S=\overline{S}$, where S is a coset of the subfield $\mathbb{F}_q$. Hence the upper half of Figure 1 is commutative. According to the AGW criterion, the problem of determining whether $f(x)$ permutes

$\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ is reduced to another one: whether $g(x)$ permutes the smaller set S. The later problem is relatively simple since we need only calculate at most q values to verifying it.

Based on the above result, we apply the AGW criterion again, and find λ and h such that $\lambda \circ g = h \circ \lambda$, i.e., the lower half of Figure 1 is commutative. By the AGW criterion, $g(x)$ permutes S if and only if $h(x)$ permutes U_n, where $n = d/\gcd(q+1, d)$ and U_n is the set of n-th roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. It is easy to check the permutation property of $h(x)$ on U_n when n is not very large.



Fig. 1 Two commutative diagrams

1.2 Outline

In Sections 2 and 3, for any $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]$ we obtain three equivalent statements: (i) $f(x)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$; (ii) $g(x)$ permutes S; (iii) $h(x)$ permutes U_n. From Section 4 to 7, we consider special forms of $\phi(x)$, and find a series of simple conditions for $f(x)$ to be a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$; for instance see Corollaries 3, 4, 8, 10 and 14. These results unify and generalize some PPs in [1, 10, 25, 27, 29].

2 The reduction from $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ to S

For ease of notations, we let $A = bu - av$, $B = au^q - bv^q$, $S = \{ax^q + bx + c \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\}$. We let ξ denote a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, and let U_n denote the set of n-th roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. In this section, we give the main result and its explanation.

Theorem 1 *Let $d \mid q^2 - 1$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]$. Let $a, b, c, u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $ab \neq 0$, $a^{q+1} = b^{q+1}$ and $ac^q = b^q c$. Then $f(x)$ defined by* (1) *is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $g(x)$ permutes S, where*

$$g(x) = x^r \left[B\phi(x^{(q^2-1)/d}) + A^{1-r} B^{qr} \phi(x^{(q^2-1)/d})^q \right] + (u^{q+1} - v^{q+1})x. \tag{2}$$

Proof To apply AGW criterion, we need to construct a commutative diagram. Let

$$\theta(x) = ax^q + bx + c, \quad \bar{\theta}(x) = Ax^q + Bx + C, \quad \bar{S} = \{\bar{\theta}(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\},$$

where $C = (u^{q+1} - v^{q+1})c$. The proof is divided into four steps.

(i) First, we prove that $\bar{\theta}\circ f = g\circ\theta$, i.e., the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}_{q^2} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \\ {\scriptstyle\theta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\bar{\theta}} \\ S & \xrightarrow[g]{} & \bar{S} \end{array}$$

For convenience, $f_1(x)\equiv f_2(x)$ is used to denote that $f_1(x)\equiv f_2(x) \pmod{x^{q^2}-x}$. According to $a^{q+1}=b^{q+1}$ and $ac^q=b^qc$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a\theta(x)^q &= a(ax^q+bx+c)^q \equiv a(a^qx+b^qx^q+c^q) \\ &= ab^qx^q+a^{q+1}x+ac^q = ab^qx^q+b^{q+1}x+b^qc = b^q\theta(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Therefore $a\theta(x)^q\equiv b^q\theta(x)$ and so $a^q\theta(x)\equiv b\theta(x)^q$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} A\theta(x)^q &= (bu-av)\theta(x)^q = (b\theta(x)^q)u-(a\theta(x)^q)v \\ &\equiv (a^q\theta(x))u-(b^q\theta(x))v = (a^qu-b^qv)\theta(x) = B^q\theta(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$A\theta(x)^{qr} = A^{1-r}(A\theta(x)^q)^r \equiv A^{1-r}(B^q\theta(x))^r = A^{1-r}B^{qr}\theta(x)^r. \tag{4}$$

Let $\psi(x)=ux^q+vx$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &A\psi(x)^q+B\psi(x)+C \\ &= (bu-av)(ux^q+vx)^q+(au^q-bv^q)(ux^q+vx)+(u^{q+1}-v^{q+1})c \\ &\equiv (bu-av)(u^qx+v^qx^q)+(au^q-bv^q)(ux^q+vx)+(u^{q+1}-v^{q+1})c \\ &= (u^{q+1}-v^{q+1})ax^q+(u^{q+1}-v^{q+1})bx+(u^{q+1}-v^{q+1})c \\ &= (u^{q+1}-v^{q+1})(ax^q+bx+c) \\ &= (u^{q+1}-v^{q+1})\theta(x). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Let $m=(q^2-1)/d$. Then, by (1), $f(x)=\theta(x)^r\phi(\theta(x)^m)+\psi(x)$ and, by (4) and (5),

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\theta}(f(x)) &= Af(x)^q+Bf(x)+C \\ &= A[\theta(x)^r\phi(\theta(x)^m)+\psi(x)]^q+B[\theta(x)^r\phi(\theta(x)^m)+\psi(x)]+C \\ &= A\theta(x)^{qr}\phi(\theta(x)^m)^q+B\theta(x)^r\phi(\theta(x)^m)+A\psi(x)^q+B\psi(x)+C \\ &\equiv A^{1-r}B^{qr}\theta(x)^r\phi(\theta(x)^m)^q+B\theta(x)^r\phi(\theta(x)^m)+A\psi(x)^q+B\psi(x)+C \\ &= \theta(x)^r\left[A^{1-r}B^{qr}\phi(\theta(x)^m)^q+B\phi(\theta(x)^m)\right]+(u^{q+1}-v^{q+1})\theta(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$g(x) = x^r[A^{1-r}B^{qr}\phi(x^m)^q+B\phi(x^m)]+(u^{q+1}-v^{q+1})x,$$

we get $\bar{\theta}(f(x))\equiv g(\theta(x))$, and so $\bar{\theta}\circ f=g\circ\theta$.

(ii) Now we show $S=\bar{S}$ if $A\neq 0$. It will be proved in Lemma 2 that $a^{q+1}=b^{q+1}$ if and only if $b=\xi^{(q-1)i}a^q$ for some $1\le i\le q+1$. According to (3), we obtain

$$\theta(x)^q\equiv(a^q/b)\theta(x)=\xi^{-(q-1)i}\theta(x).$$

Hence $(\xi^i\theta(x))^q \equiv \xi^i\theta(x)$, and so $\xi^i\theta(\alpha) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Thus there exists $e \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\theta(\alpha) = \xi^{-i}e$, i.e., $S \subseteq \{\xi^{-i}e \mid e \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$. On the other hand, since $\theta(x)$ has degree q, each image has at most q preimages under θ. Hence $\#S \geq q^2/q = q$, and so $S = \{\xi^{-i}e \mid e \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$. We next show that $A^{q+1} = B^{q+1}$ and $AC^q = B^qC$. Since

$$A^{q+1} = (bu-av)^q(bu-av) = b^{q+1}u^{q+1} - ab^qu^qv + (-1)^qa^qbuv^q + a^{q+1}v^{q+1},$$
$$B^{q+1} = (au^q - bv^q)^q(au^q - bv^q) = a^{q+1}u^{q+1} + (-1)^qab^qu^qv - a^qbuv^q + b^{q+1}v^{q+1},$$

and $a^{q+1} = b^{q+1}$, we get $A^{q+1} = B^{q+1}$. It follows from $ac^q = b^qc$ that $a^qc = bc^q$ and

$$\begin{aligned} AC^q &= (bu-av)(u^{q+1} - v^{q+1})^qc^q = (bc^qu - ac^qv)(u^{q+1} - v^{q+1}) \\ &= (a^qcu - b^qcv)(u^{q+1} - v^{q+1}) = (a^qu - b^qv)(u^{q+1} - v^{q+1})c = B^qC. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (3), we obtain $A\theta(x)^q = B^q\theta(x)$. An argument similar to the one used above shows that $\overline{S} = \{\xi^{-i}e \mid e \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ if $A \neq 0$. Therefore we conclude that

$$S = \overline{S} = \{\xi^{-i}e \mid e \in \mathbb{F}_q\}. \tag{6}$$

(iii) Since $f(x) = \theta(x)^r\phi(\theta(x)^m) + ux^q + vx$, we have

$$f(x) = \theta(x)^r\phi(\theta(x)^m) + (u/a)\theta(x) + (v-(bu/a))x - (cu/a).$$

For any $x \in \theta^{-1}(s)$, $f(x) = (v-(bu/a))x + \gamma$, where $\gamma = s^r\phi(s^m) + (u/a)s - (cu/a)$. Thus $f(x)$ is injective on $\theta^{-1}(s)$ if and only if $v-(bu/a) \neq 0$, i.e., $A \neq 0$.

(iv) If $A = 0$ then $f(x)$ is not injective on $\theta^{-1}(s)$ and, by the AGW criterion, $f(x)$ is not a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. On the other hand, if $A = 0$ then $B^{q+1} = A^{q+1} = 0$ and $u^{q+1} = v^{q+1}$. Hence $g(x) = 0$ and it dose not permute S. Hence the theorem is true when $A = 0$. If $A \neq 0$ then, by the AGW criterion and $S = \overline{S}$, $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $g(x)$ permutes S.

In Theorem 1, the problem of determining whether $f(x)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ is reduced to that of establishing whether $g(x)$ permutes S. It follows from (6) that S is a coset of the subfield $\mathbb{F}_q$, and so S has q elements. We need only calculate at most q values ($g(y)$ for $y \in S$) to verify whether $f(x)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Hence Theorem 1 provides a relatively simple necessary and sufficient condition for $f(x)$ to be a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

According to the commutative diagram in the proof of Theorem 1, $g(x)$ induces a mapping from S to S. Hence $g(x)$ permutes S if and only if $g(x)$ is injective on S, which can be used to simplify the proof that $g(x)$ permutes S. Next, we will give further explanation for Theorem 1 by the following lemma.

Lemma 2 *Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $ab \neq 0$. Then*

- (i) *$ax^q + bx + c$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $a^{q+1} \neq b^{q+1}$.*
- (ii) *$a^{q+1} = b^{q+1}$ if and only if $b = \xi^{(q-1)i}a^q$ for some $1 \leq i \leq q+1$.*
- (iii) *If $b = \xi^{(q-1)i}a^q$, then $ac^q = b^qc$ if and only if $c = \xi^{-i}e$ for some $e \in \mathbb{F}_q$.*
- (iv) *The subfield $\mathbb{F}_q = \{0\} \cup \{\xi^{(q+1)j} \mid j = 1, 2, \ldots, q-1\}$.*

Proof (i) ax^q+bx is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $\left|\begin{smallmatrix} a & b^q \\ b & a^q \end{smallmatrix}\right| \neq 0$, i.e., $a^{q+1} \neq b^{q+1}$. (ii) The norm function $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}(\alpha)=1$ if and only if $\alpha=\beta^{q-1}$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. There are exactly $q+1$ choices for β, namely $\beta=\xi^i$ with $1 \leq i \leq q+1$. Since $a^{q+1}=b^{q+1}$ is equivalent to $(b/a^q)^{q+1}=1$, (ii) is true. Next we prove (iii). Since $a=\xi^{(q-1)i}b^q$, $ac^q=b^qc$ if and only if $(\xi^i c)^q=\xi^i c$. The latter is equivalent to $\xi^i c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, so $c=\xi^{-i}e$ for some $e \in \mathbb{F}_q$. (iv) Since ξ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, $\xi^{(q+1)j}$ are all distinct for $1 \leq j \leq q-1$. Also $(\xi^{(q+1)j})^q=\xi^{(q^2+q)j}=\xi^{(q+1)j}$, hence the result holds true.

According to Lemma 2 (i), S is a subset of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, i.e., ax^q+bx+c is not a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, if and only if $a^{q+1}=b^{q+1}$. By (ii) and (iii), there are $(q^2-1)\cdot(q+1)\cdot q$ choices for $a,b,c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $ab \neq 0$, $a^{q+1}=b^{q+1}$ and $ac^q=b^qc$. (iv) describes the construction of the subfield $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, which plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 2.

3 The reduction from S to U_n

Theorem 1 requires that $b=\xi^{(q-1)i}a^q$, where i is an arbitrary integer. By considering the case $i=j\cdot\gcd(q+1,d)$, we employ the AGW criterion again and obtain the next result.

Theorem 2 *Let $d \mid q^2-1$ and $\gcd(q+1,d)=d/n$, where $n \geq 1$ and $n \mid d$. Let a, b, $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $ab \neq 0$, $b=\xi^{(q-1)jd/n}a^q$ and $ac^q=b^qc$, where $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq jd/n \leq q+1$. Let u, $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $u^{q+1}=v^{q+1}$ and $\gcd(r,(q^2-1)/d)=1$, or $u^{q+1} \neq v^{q+1}$ and $r=1$. Then $f(x)$ in (1) is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $h(x)$ permutes U_n, where*

$$h(x)=x^r[B\phi(x)+A^{1-r}B^{qr}\phi(x)^q+u^{q+1}-v^{q+1}]^{(q^2-1)/d}. \tag{7}$$

Proof Let $g(x)$ be defined by (2), $\lambda(x)=x^{(q^2-1)/d}$ and $T=\{\lambda(x) \mid x \in S\}$. We will prove that $g(x)$ permutes S if and only if $h(x)$ permutes T. The proof is divided into four steps.

(i) When $u^{q+1}=v^{q+1}$ or $u^{q+1} \neq v^{q+1}$ and $r=1$, it is easy to show that $\lambda \circ g = h \circ \lambda$, i.e., the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{g} & S \\ {\scriptstyle\lambda}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\lambda} \\ T & \xrightarrow[h]{} & T \end{array}$$

(ii) Next, we prove $T=\{0\}\cup U_n$. According to (6), if $b=\xi^{(q-1)jd/n}a^q$, then $S=\{\,\xi^{-jd/n}e \mid e \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$. Because $\mathbb{F}_q=\{0\}\cup\{\,\xi^{(q+1)i} \mid i=1,2,\ldots,q-1\}$, we have

$$S=\{0\}\cup\{\,\xi^{(q+1)i-jd/n} \mid i=1,2,\ldots,q-1\}. \tag{8}$$

Since $\gcd(q+1,d)=d/n$, there is $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q+1=md/n$ and $\gcd(m,n)=1$. Hence $(q+1)i-jd/n=(mi-j)d/n$ and $\{mi-j \mid i=1,2,\ldots,n\}$ is a complete residue system modulo n. As $d \mid q^2-1$, namely $nd/n \mid (md/n)(q-1)$, we get $n \mid q-1$. Thus

$$S=\{0\}\cup D_0'\cup D_1'\cup\cdots\cup D_{n-1}', \tag{9}$$

where D_i' is a set of $(q-1)/n$ elements from D_i, and

$$D_i = \{\xi^{kd+id/n} \mid k = 0,1,\ldots,\tfrac{q^2-1}{d}-1\}, \quad 0 \le i \le n-1.$$

For $x \in D_i$, $\lambda(x) = x^{(q^2-1)/d} = \omega^i$, where $\omega = \xi^{(q^2-1)/n}$. Hence

$$\{\lambda(x) \mid x \in S\} = \{0, \omega^0, \omega^1, \omega^2, \ldots, \omega^{n-1}\}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad T = \{0\} \cup U_n. \tag{10}$$

(iii) It follows from (9) and (10) that $\lambda^{-1}(\omega^i) = D_i'$. We show next that $g(x)$ is injective on D_i' when $\gcd(r,(q^2-1)/d) = 1$. For $x \in D_i$, $\lambda(x) = \omega^i$ and so

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x^r[B\phi(\omega^i) + A^{1-r}B^{qr}\phi(\omega^i)^q] & \text{for } u^{q+1} = v^{q+1}; \\ x^r[B\phi(\omega^i) + B^q\phi(\omega^i)^q + u^{q+1} - v^{q+1}] & \text{for } u^{q+1} \ne v^{q+1},\ r = 1. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to prove that the following statements are equivalent:

1. $g(x)$ is injective on D_i.
2. x^r is injective on D_i.
3. $\{rk \mid k = 0,1,\ldots,\frac{q^2-1}{d}-1\}$ is a complete residue system modulo $(q^2-1)/d$.
4. $\gcd(r,(q^2-1)/d) = 1$.

Since $D_i' \subseteq D_i$, $g(x)$ is injective on D_i' when $\gcd(r,(q^2-1)/d) = 1$.

(iv) Finally, by the AGW criterion, $g(x)$ permutes S if and only if $h(x)$ permutes T. The later is equivalent to that $h(x)$ permutes U_n because $T = \{0\} \cup U_n$ and $h(0) = 0$. This theorem is thus a consequent of Theorem 1.

In the proof above, the problem of determining whether $g(x)$ permutes S is reduced to that of verifying whether $h(x)$ permutes U_n. Hence Theorem 2 indicates that $f(x)$ in (1) permutes $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $h(x)$ permutes U_n. In this case we need only calculate at most n values ($h(y)$ for $y \in U_n$) to verify whether $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Therefore, roughly speaking, Theorem 2 is more efficient than Theorem 1 when $n < q$. In particular, if $n = 1$ and $h(1) = 1$, then $f(x)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

Let $d \mid q^2-1$. Then d is an odd divisor of $q-1$ if and only if $\gcd(q+1,d) = 1$. From Lemma 2, $a^{q+1} = b^{q+1}$ if and only if $b = \xi^{(q-1)i}a^q$ for some $1 \le i \le q+1$. Consequently we obtain the following result by applying Theorem 2 with $n = d$.

Corollary 1 *Let $d \ge 3$ be an odd divisor of $q-1$, and let $a,b,c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $ab \ne 0$, $a^{q+1} = b^{q+1}$ and $ac^q = b^qc$. Let $u,v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $u^{q+1} = v^{q+1}$ and $\gcd(r,(q^2-1)/d) = 1$, or $u^{q+1} \ne v^{q+1}$ and $r = 1$. Then $f(x)$ in (1) is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $h(x)$ in (7) permutes U_d.*

We next consider the case that d is an even divisor of $q-1$.

Theorem 3 *Let $d \ge 2$ be an even divisor of $q-1$, and let $a,b,c,u,v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $ab \ne 0$, $b = \xi^{(q-1)j}a^q$ and $ac^q = b^qc$, where $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \le j \le q+1$. Let $u,v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $u^{q+1} = v^{q+1}$ and $\gcd(r,(q^2-1)/d) = 1$, or $u^{q+1} \ne v^{q+1}$ and $r = 1$. Then $f(x)$ in (1) is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if*

- (i) *$h(x)$ in (7) permutes $U_{d/2}$ when j is even, or*
- (ii) *$h(x)$ in (7) permutes $U_d \setminus U_{d/2}$ when j is odd.*

Proof Since $d \mid q-1$ and d is even, $q+1 \equiv 2 \pmod{d}$ and $\gcd(q+1,d) = \gcd(2,d) = 2$. For even j, the conditions in Theorem 2 are satisfied. Hence $d/n = 2$ and $U_n = U_{d/2}$, and so (i) is true. For odd j, an argument similar to that leading to (10) shows

$$\{x^{(q^2-1)/d} \mid x \in S\} = \{0, \omega, \omega^3, \omega^5, \ldots, \omega^{d-1}\} = \{0\} \cup U_d \setminus U_{d/2},$$

where $\omega = \xi^{(q^2-1)/d}$. The remainder of the proof is analogous to that in Theorem 2 and so is omitted.

When $d \mid q-1$, Corollary 1 and Theorem 3 give necessary and sufficient conditions for $f(x)$ to be a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. We conclude this section with a remark on the degree of $\phi(x)$. Because

$$x^r (x^{(q^2-1)/d})^d \equiv x^r \pmod{x^{q^2} - x},$$

we need only consider $\phi(x)$ of degree less than d in Theorem 1. By (10),

$$\{(ax^q + bx + c)^{(q^2-1)/d} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\} = \{0\} \cup U_n. \tag{11}$$

Hence we need only consider $\phi(x)$ of degree less than n in Theorem 2.

4 $\phi(x)$ is a constant

In Sections 2 and 3, the polynomial $\phi(x)$ is arbitrary. In order to find simple conditions for $f(x)$ to be a PP, special forms of $\phi(x)$ are considered form Section 4 to 7. First, we investigate the case that $\phi(x)$ is a constant.

4.1 The case $\phi(x) = 1$

When $\phi(x) = 1$, we deduce the next result from Theorem 1.

Theorem 4 *Let $a, b, c, u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $ab \neq 0$, $a^{q+1} = b^{q+1}$ and $ac^q = b^q c$. Define*

$$f(x) = (ax^q + bx + c)^r + ux^q + vx, \text{ where } r \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $g(x)$ permutes S, where

$$g(x) = (B + A^{1-r}B^{qr})x^r + (u^{q+1} - v^{q+1})x.$$

In particular, if $B + A^{1-r}B^{qr} = 0$, then $f(x)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $u^{q+1} \neq v^{q+1}$. If $u^{q+1} = v^{q+1}$, then $f(x)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $B + A^{1-r}B^{qr} \neq 0$ and $\gcd(r, q-1) = 1$.

Proof The first part is a direct consequence of Theorem 1. Note that $g(x)$ permutes S if and only if $g(x)$ is injective on S. If $B + A^{1-r}B^{qr} = 0$, then $g(x) = (u^{q+1} - v^{q+1})x$. Thus $g(x)$ is injective on S if and only if $u^{q+1} \neq v^{q+1}$. If $u^{q+1} = v^{q+1}$, then $g(x) = (B + A^{1-r}B^{qr})x^r$. Because S is a coset of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and

$$\mathbb{F}_q = \{0\} \cup \{\xi^{(q+1)j} \mid j = 1, 2, \ldots, q-1\},$$

it follows that $g(x)$ is injective on S if and only if $B + A^{1-r}B^{qr} \neq 0$ and

$$\{rj \mid j = 1, 2, \ldots, q-1\}$$

is a complete residue system modulo $q-1$, i.e., $\gcd(r, q-1) = 1$.

Theorem 4 unifies and generalizes several recently discovered PPs; for instance see Theorem 4.11 in [27] and Theorem 1 in [31]. Applying Theorem 4 to $a=b=1$, we arrive at the following examples.

Corollary 2 *Let $c,u\in\mathbb{F}_q$ and $e\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $e+e^q=0$. Define*

$$f(x)=(x^q+x+c)^r+ux^q+(u-e)x,\ \text{where } r\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $e\neq 0$.

Corollary 2 generalizes [29, Theorem 5], which only gives the sufficient part.

Corollary 3 *For q an odd prime power, let $c,u\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Then*

$$f(x)=(x^q+x+c)^r+ux^q-ux$$

is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $u\neq 0$ and $\gcd(r,q-1)=1$.

Applying Theorem 4 to $a=1$ and $b=-1$, we obtain the next corollaries.

Corollary 4 *Let $c,u,v\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $c+c^q=0$ and $(u+v)^q+(-1)^{1-r}(u+v)=0$, where $r\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$f(x)=(x^q-x+c)^r+ux^q+vx$$

is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $u^{q+1}\neq v^{q+1}$.

Corollary 4 generalizes [29, Theorem 4], which considers special u and v and only gives the sufficient part.

Corollary 5 *Let $c,u,v\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $c+c^q=0$ and $u^{q+1}=v^{q+1}$. Then, for $r\in\mathbb{Z}$,*

$$f(x)=(x^q-x+c)^r+ux^q+vx$$

is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $(u+v)^q+(-1)^{1-r}(u+v)\neq 0$ and $\gcd(r,q-1)=1$.

Corollaries 4 and 5 unify and generalize [1, Theorem 5.12] and [25, Theorem 6.4], which requires $c=0$ and $u=v$ or v^q. Moreover, Theorem 8.1.71 in the Handbook of Finite Fields [16] is incorrect since the condition in the first statement is sufficient but not necessary.

4.2 The case $d\mid q+1$

When d is a divisor of $q+1$, for any $\phi(x)\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]$ we infer the next result which is similar to Theorem 4.

Theorem 5 *Let $d\mid q+1$, and let $a,b,c,u,v\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $ab\neq 0$, $a^{q+1}=b^{q+1}$ and $ac^q=b^qc$. Then $f(x)$ in* (1) *satisfies the congruence*

$$f(x)\equiv\phi(\delta)(ax^q+bx+c)^r+ux^q+vx\pmod{x^{q^2}-x},$$

where $\delta=(b^q/a)^{(q+1)/d}$. If $\phi(\delta)\neq 0$ then $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if

$$g(x)=(\bar{B}+\bar{A}^{1-r}\bar{B}^{qr})x^r+(\bar{u}^{q+1}-\bar{v}^{q+1})x$$

permutes S, where $\bar{A}=b\bar{u}-a\bar{v}$, $\bar{B}=a\bar{u}^q-b\bar{v}^q$, $\bar{u}=u/\phi(\delta)$ and $\bar{v}=v/\phi(\delta)$.

Proof Let $\theta(x)=ax^q+bx+c$. Then $f(x)=\theta(x)^r\phi(\theta(x)^{(q^2-1)/d})+ux^q+vx$. By (3),

$$\theta(x)^q\equiv(b^q/a)\theta(x) \pmod{x^{q^2}-x}.$$

For any $e\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, if $\theta(e)\neq 0$ then $\theta(e)^{q-1}=b^q/a$. Hence

$$\theta(e)^r\phi(\theta(e)^{(q^2-1)/d})=\theta(e)^r\phi(\theta(e)^{(q-1)(q+1)/d})=\theta(e)^r\phi((b^q/a)^{(q+1)/d}),$$

$$\theta(x)^r\phi(\theta(x)^{(q^2-1)/d})\equiv\theta(x)^r\phi((b^q/a)^{(q+1)/d}) \pmod{x^{q^2}-x}.$$

Denote $\delta=(b^q/a)^{(q+1)/d}$. Then $f(x)\equiv\theta(x)^r\phi(\delta)+ux^q+vx \pmod{x^{q^2}-x}$.

The second part of the theorem is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.

Applying Theorem 5 to $r=1$, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 6 *Let $r=1$, $d\mid q+1$, and $a,b,c,u,v\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $ab\neq 0$, $a^{q+1}=b^{q+1}$ and $ac^q=b^qc$. Then $f(x)$ in* (1) *satisfies the congruence*

$$f(x)\equiv\alpha x^q+\beta x+\gamma \pmod{x^{q^2}-x},$$

where $\alpha=\phi(\delta)a+u$, $\beta=\phi(\delta)b+v$, $\gamma=\phi(\delta)c$ and $\delta=(b^q/a)^{(q+1)/d}$. Moreover, $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $\alpha^{q+1}\neq\beta^{q+1}$.

Corollary 6 reduces $f(x)$ in (1) to a simple linearized polynomial. Theorem 8 in [10] and some theorems in [27] are special cases of Corollary 6.

Example 1 *Let q be an odd prime power with $3\mid q+1$, and let $c\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $c+c^q=0$. Theorem 8 in [10] pointed out that*

$$f(x)=(x^q-x+c)^{\frac{q^2-1}{3}+1}+x$$

permutes $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. In fact, by Corollary 6*, we have*

$$f(x)\equiv ex^q+(1-e)x+ce \pmod{x^{q^2}-x},$$

where $e=(-1)^{(q+1)/3}$. Since $e^{q+1}\neq(1-e)^{q+1}$, it follows that $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

5 $\phi(x)$ has degree less than three

According to Theorem 2, the permutation behavior of $f(x)$ in (1) on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ is easy to check when n is small. For $n=2$ or 3, it suffices to consider $\phi(x)$ of degree less than 3. In this case, we derive two explicit classes of PPs of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. These results unify and generalize several classes of PPs obtained in [10, 27].

5.1 The case $n=2$

Fist a simple lemma is needed.

Lemma 3 *Let $d \geq 3$ be even. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $d \mid q^2-1$, $\gcd(q+1,d)=d/2$.
(ii) $d \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, $q+1 \equiv d/2 \pmod d$.

Proof Clearly, $\gcd(q+1,d)=d/2$ if and only if $q+1 \equiv d/2 \pmod d$. Hence

$$q-1 \equiv (d-4)/2 \pmod d, \qquad q^2-1 \equiv d^2/4 \pmod d,$$

and so $d \mid q^2-1$ if and only if $d \mid (d^2/4)$, i.e., $d=4s$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Combining Theorem 2 and Lemma 3, we deduce the next result.

Theorem 6 *Let $d \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and $q+1 \equiv d/2 \pmod d$. Let $a,b,c,u,v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $ab \neq 0$, $b=\xi^{(q-1)jd/2}a^q$ and $ac^q=b^qc$, where $1 \leq jd/2 \leq q+1$. Let u, $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $u^{q+1}=v^{q+1}$ and $\gcd(r,(q^2-1)/d)=1$, or $u^{q+1} \neq v^{q+1}$ and $r=1$. Define*

$$f(x)=(ax^q+bx+c)^r\left(e_0+e_1(ax^q+bx+c)^{(q^2-1)/d}\right)+ux^q+vx,$$

where $e_0,e_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Then $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if

$$\left[(Be_0+A^{1-r}B^{qr}e_0^q+u^{q+1}-v^{q+1})^2-(Be_1+A^{1-r}B^{qr}e_1^q)^2\right]^{(q^2-1)/d}=(-1)^{r+1}.$$

Proof It follows from Theorem 2 that $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if

$$h(x)=x^r\left[B(e_0+e_1x)+A^{1-r}B^{qr}(e_0+e_1x)^q+u^{q+1}-v^{q+1}\right]^{(q^2-1)/d}$$

permutes $U_2=\{-1,1\}$. According to the commutative diagram in the proof of Theorem 2, $h(x)$ induces a mapping from $\{0,-1,1\}$ to itself. Hence $h(x)$ permutes U_2 if and only if $h(-1)h(1)=-1$. Since $d \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and $q+1 \equiv d/2 \pmod d$, it follows that q is odd. Then a simple calculation can complete the proof.

Taking $d=4$ and $r=1$ in Theorem 6, we obtain the following example.

Example 2 *Let $d=4$ and $q+1 \equiv 2 \pmod 4$. Let $b,c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $b=\xi^{(q-1)2j}$ and $c^q=b^qc$, where $1 \leq 2j \leq q+1$. Let $e,e' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and*

$$f(x)=e(x^q+bx+c)^{\frac{q^2-1}{4}+1}+e'(x^q+bx+c)^{\frac{3(q^2-1)}{4}+1}-bx.$$

It follows from (11) *that $\{(x^q+bx+c)^{(q^2-1)/4} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\}=\{0,-1,1\}$. Hence*

$$(x^q+bx+c)^{\frac{3(q^2-1)}{4}+1} \equiv (x^q+bx+c)^{\frac{q^2-1}{4}+1} \pmod{x^{q^2}-x},$$

$$f(x) \equiv (e+e')(x^q+bx+c)^{\frac{q^2-1}{4}+1}-bx \pmod{x^{q^2}-x}.$$

Clearly $b^{q+1}=1$ and $B=(-b)^{q+1}=1$. From Theorem 6, $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $[1-4(e+e')^2]^{(q^2-1)/d}=1$. The result is Theorem 5.3 *in [27].*

5.2 The case $n=3$

The next result is a particular case for $n=3$.

Theorem 7 *Let $3 \mid d$, $d \mid q^2-1$ and $\gcd(q+1,d)=d/3$. Let $b,c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $b=\xi^{(q-1)jd/3}$ and $c^q=b^q c$, where $1 \le jd/3 \le q+1$. Define*

$$f(x)=(x^q+bx+c)^{\frac{k(q^2-1)}{d}+1}-bx, \text{ where } k=1,2.$$

Let $\omega=\xi^{(q^2-1)/3}$. Then $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if

$$\big((\omega^{kq}+\omega^k-1)^{\frac{q^2-1}{d}},\ (\omega^{2kq}+\omega^{2k}-1)^{\frac{q^2-1}{d}}\big)=(1,1) \text{ or } (\omega,\omega^2).$$

Proof Clearly $B=(-b)^{q+1}=1$ and $\phi(x)=x^k$. According to Theorem 2, $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $h(x)=x(x^{kq}+x^k-1)^{\frac{q^2-1}{d}}$ permutes $U_3=\{1,\omega,\omega^2\}$. Since $h(1)=1$, $h(x)$ permutes U_3 if and only if $(h(\omega),\ h(\omega^2))=(\omega,\omega^2)$ or (ω^2,ω).

Remark 1 *Let $3 \mid d$ and $\gcd(q+1,d)=d/3$. (1) If $q+1 \equiv d/3 \pmod d$, then $d \mid q^2-1$ if and only if $d \equiv 6 \pmod 9$. (2) If $q+1 \equiv 2d/3 \pmod d$, then $d \mid q^2-1$ if and only if $d \equiv 3 \pmod 9$.*

Theorem 7 unifies and generalizes some results in [27]. In fact, if $d=3$ and $q+1 \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, then $3 \mid q-1$ and $\omega^q=\omega$. Therefore we have the next corollaries.

Corollary 7 *Let $q+1 \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, and let $b,c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $b^{q+1}=1$ and $c^q=b^q c$. Define*

$$f(x)=(x^q+bx+c)^{\frac{k(q^2-1)}{3}+1}-bx, \text{ where } k=1,2.$$

Let $\omega=\xi^{(q^2-1)/3}$. Then $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if

$$\big((2\omega^k-1)^{\frac{q^2-1}{3}},\ (2\omega^{2k}-1)^{\frac{q^2-1}{3}}\big)=(1,1) \text{ or } (\omega,\omega^2).$$

Taking $k=1$, Corollary 7 reduces to Theorem 4.5 in [27]. Applying Theorem 7 to $d=6$ and $q+1 \equiv 2 \pmod 6$, we have the following result.

Corollary 8 *Let $q+1 \equiv 2 \pmod 6$, and let $b,c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $b=\xi^{(q-1)2j}$ and $c^q=b^q c$, where $1 \le 2j \le q+1$. Define*

$$f(x)=(x^q+bx+c)^{\frac{k(q^2-1)}{6}+1}-bx, \text{ where } k=1,2.$$

Let $\omega=\xi^{(q^2-1)/3}$. Then $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if

$$\big((2\omega^k-1)^{\frac{q^2-1}{6}},\ (2\omega^{2k}-1)^{\frac{q^2-1}{6}}\big)=(1,1) \text{ or } (\omega,\omega^2).$$

Corollary 8 unifies Theorems 6.3 and 6.4 in [27].

6 $\phi(x)=1+x+x^2+\cdots+x^{d-1}$

In this section we consider the case $\phi(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}x^k$, and derive a class of PPs which generalizes some results in [27].

According to Corollary 6, if $r=1$ and $d=2$, then $f(x)$ in (1) is equivalent to a simple linearized polynomial. Hence we assume $r=1$ and $d\geq 3$ in this section. Let $\omega=\xi^{(q^2-1)/d}$. Then

$$\phi(\omega^i)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{for } i=1,2,\ldots,d-1;\\ d & \text{for } i=d.\end{cases} \tag{12}$$

The following theorem is based on this observation.

Theorem 8 *Let $d\geq 3$, $d\mid q^2-1$, and $a,b,c,u,v\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $ab\neq 0$, $a^{q+1}=b^{q+1}$, $ac^q=b^qc$ and $u^{q+1}\neq v^{q+1}$. Define*

$$f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}(ax^q+bx+c)^{\frac{k(q^2-1)}{d}+1}+ux^q+vx. \tag{13}$$

Then the following statements hold:

(i) *The polynomial $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if*

$$\left(1+\frac{d(B+B^q)}{u^{q+1}-v^{q+1}}\right)^{(q^2-1)/d}=1 \tag{14}$$

(ii) *For d an odd divisor of $q-1$, $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if* (14) *holds.*

Proof From Theorem 1, $f(x)$ in (13) is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if

$$g(x)=x[B\phi(x^{(q^2-1)/d})+B^q\phi(x^{(q^2-1)/d})^q+u^{q+1}-v^{q+1}]$$

permutes S, where $\phi(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}x^k$. Let

$$D_1=\{x\in S\mid x\neq 0, x^{(q^2-1)/d}=1\},\quad D_2=\{x\in S\mid x\neq 0, x^{(q^2-1)/d}\neq 1\}.$$

Then $S=\{0\}\cup D_1\cup D_2$. It follows from (12) that

$$\phi(x^{(q^2-1)/d})=\begin{cases}d & \text{for } x\in D_1;\\ 0 & \text{for } x\in D_2.\end{cases}\qquad g(x)=\begin{cases}\alpha x & \text{for } x\in D_1;\\ \beta x & \text{for } x\in D_2.\end{cases}$$

where $\alpha=d(B+B^q)+u^{q+1}-v^{q+1}$ and $\beta=u^{q+1}-v^{q+1}\neq 0$.

(i) If (14) holds, i.e., $(\alpha/\beta)^{(q^2-1)/d}=1$, then $\alpha^{(q^2-1)/d}=\beta^{(q^2-1)/d}$. Therefore

$$\{\alpha x\mid x\in D_1\}\cap\{\beta x\mid x\in D_2\}=\emptyset,$$

and so $g(x)$ is injective on S. Because $g(x)$ induces a mapping from S to S, we deduce that $g(x)$ permutes S. Furthermore, $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

(ii) If d is an odd divisor of $q-1$, by Corollary 1, $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if

$$h(x)=x[B\phi(x)+B^q\phi(x)^q+u^{q+1}-v^{q+1}]^{(q^2-1)/d}$$

permutes $U_d = \{1, \omega, \omega^2, \cdots, \omega^{d-1}\}$, where $\omega = \xi^{(q^2-1)/d}$. The formula (12) leads to

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{for } x = 1; \\ \beta x & \text{for } x = \omega, \omega^2, \cdots, \omega^{d-1}. \end{cases}$$

Here $\alpha = (d(B+B^q) + u^{q+1} - v^{q+1})^{(q^2-1)/d}$ and $\beta = (u^{q+1} - v^{q+1})^{(q^2-1)/d} \neq 0$. As $\beta \in U_d$, we have $\{\alpha, \beta\omega, \cdots, \beta\omega^{d-1}\} = U_d$ if and only if $\{\alpha/\beta, \omega, \cdots, \omega^{d-1}\} = U_d$. Hence $h(x)$ permutes U_d if and only if $\alpha/\beta = 1$.

Recall that $B = au^q - bv^q$, if $u = a$ and $v = 0$, then $B = B^q = a^{q+1}$. If $u = 0$ and $v = -b$, then $B = B^q = b^{q+1}$. Thus, by Theorem 8, we obtain the next result.

Corollary 9 *Let $d \geq 3$ and $d \mid q^2 - 1$. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $ab \neq 0$, $a^{q+1} = b^{q+1}$ and $ac^q = b^q c$. Definne*

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (ax^q + bx + c)^{\frac{k(q^2-1)}{d}+1} + ax^q, \quad f_2(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (ax^q + bx + c)^{\frac{k(q^2-1)}{d}+1} - bx.$$

Then $f_1(x)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if $(1+2d)^{\frac{q^2-1}{d}} = 1$, $f_2(x)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if $(1-2d)^{\frac{q^2-1}{d}} = 1$.

We can also derive the following classes of PPs from Theorem 8.

Corollary 10 *For q an odd prime power, let $d \geq 3$ be a odd divisor of $q-1$, let b, $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ be such that $b^{q+1} = 1$ and $c^q = b^q c$, and let $e \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $e \neq 0$. Then*

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} e(x^q + bx + c)^{\frac{k(q^2-1)}{d}+1} + (1-e)x^q - b(1+e)x$$

is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $(1-d)^{(q^2-1)/d} = 1$.

Proof Clearly $a = 1$, $u = (1-e)/e$ and $v = -b(e+1)/e$. Then $B = 2/e$, $u^{q+1} - v^{q+1} = -4/e$ and $1 + d(B^q + B)/(u^{q+1} - v^{q+1}) = 1 - d$. By Theorem 8, $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $(1-d)^{(q^2-1)/d} = 1$.

Corollary 10 generalizes Theorem 4.9 in [27], where $d = 3$ is considered.

Corollary 11 *Let $d \geq 3$ be a odd divisor of $q-1$, and $b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $b^{q+1} = 1$ and $c^q = b^q c$. Let $e \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $e(1+2e) \neq 0$. Then*

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} e(x^q + bx + c)^{\frac{k(q^2-1)}{d}+1} - ex^q - b(1+e)x$$

is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $\left(1 - \dfrac{2de}{1+2e}\right)^{(q^2-1)/d} = 1$.

Corollary 11 generalizes Theorem 4.10 in [27], where $d = 3$ is considered.

7 $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$

The polynomial $\phi(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is considered in this section. The fact that $\phi(x)^q = \phi(x^q)$ is employed to reduce the polynomials $g(x)$ in (2) and $h(x)$ in (7). Then we obtain explicit conditions in which $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

7.1 The reduced form of $g(x)$

Let $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Then $\phi(x)^q = \phi(x^q)$. If $d \mid q-1$, then

$$(x^{(q^2-1)/d})^q \equiv x^{(q^2-1)/d} \pmod{x^{q^2}-x}.$$

Also note that

$$g(x) = x^r \left[B\phi(x^{(q^2-1)/d}) + A^{1-r}B^{qr}\phi(x^{(q^2-1)/d})^q\right] + (u^{q+1}-v^{q+1})x.$$

Hence

$$g(x) \equiv (B + A^{1-r}B^{qr})x^r\phi(x^{(q^2-1)/d}) + (u^{q+1}-v^{q+1})x \pmod{x^{q^2}-x}.$$

If $B + A^{1-r}B^{qr} = 0$. Then

$$g(x) \equiv (u^{q+1}-v^{q+1})x \pmod{x^{q^2}-x}.$$

Therefore $g(x)$ permutes S if and only if $u^{q+1} \neq v^{q+1}$. According to Theorem 1, we have the next result.

Theorem 9 *Let $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, $d \mid q-1$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $a,b,c,u,v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $ab \neq 0$, $a^{q+1} = b^{q+1}$, $ac^q = b^q c$ and $B + A^{1-r}B^{qr} = 0$. Then $f(x)$ in (1) is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $u^{q+1} \neq v^{q+1}$.*

Theorem 9 describes a new class of PPs. The condition $B + A^{1-r}B^{qr} = 0$ is easy to satisfy. For instance, let $u = 0$ and $v = 1$, then $B = -b$ and $B^q + B = 0$ if $b^q + b = 0$. Let $u = 1$ and $v = 0$, then $B = a$ and $B^q + B = 0$ if $a^q + a = 0$. Therefore we deduce the nest result.

Corollary 12 *Let $d \mid q-1$ and $0 \leq k < d$. Let $a,b,c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $ab \neq 0$, $a^{q+1} = b^{q+1}$ and $ac^q = b^q c$. Define*

$$f_1(x) = (ax^q + bx + c)^{\frac{k(q^2-1)}{d}+1} + x, \quad f_2(x) = (ax^q + bx + c)^{\frac{k(q^2-1)}{d}+1} + x^q.$$

Then $f_1(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if $b^q + b = 0$, and $f_2(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if $a^q + a = 0$.

We can also derive the following classes of PPs from Theorem 9.

Corollary 13 *Let $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, $d \mid q-1$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $c,u \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $e \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $e + e^q = 0$. Then*

$$f(x) = (x^q + x + c)^r\phi((x^q + x + c)^{(q^2-1)/d}) + ux^q + (u-e)x$$

is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $e \neq 0$.

Corollary 13 generalizes Corollary 2, which only considers the case $\phi(x) = 1$.

Corollary 14 *Let $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, $d \mid q-1$ and r be even. Let $u,e \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $c + c^q = 0$. Then*

$$f(x) = (x^q - x + c)^r\phi((x^q - x + c)^{(q^2-1)/d}) + ux^q + (u+e)x$$

is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $e(2u+e) \neq 0$.

Corollary 14 generalizes [29, Theorem 4], which considers the case $\phi(x) = 1$ and only gives the sufficient part.

7.2 The reduced form of $h(x)$

Let $u^{q+1}=v^{q+1}$. Then, by $\phi(x)^q=\phi(x^q)$, the polynomial $h(x)$ in (7) reduces to

$$h(x)=x^r[B\phi(x)+A^{1-r}B^{qr}\phi(x^q)]^{(q^2-1)/d}.$$

Assume that $n\mid q-1$. Then $x^q\equiv x$ for $x\in U_n$, and so we may substitute x for x^q in $h(x)$. Hence

$$h(x)=(B+A^{1-r}B^{qr})^{(q^2-1)/d}x^r\phi(x)^{(q^2-1)/d}.$$

Then we deduce the next result from Theorem 2.

Theorem 10 *Let $\phi(x)\in\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, $d\mid q^2-1$ and $\gcd(q+1,d)=d/n$, where $n\geq 1$, $n\mid d$ and $n\mid q-1$. Let $a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $ab\neq 0$, $b=\xi^{(q-1)jd/n}a^q$ and $ac^q=b^qc$, where $1\leq jd/n\leq q+1$. Let $u,v\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $r\in\mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $u^{q+1}=v^{q+1}$ and $\gcd(r,(q^2-1)/d)=1$. Then $f(x)$ in (1) is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $B+A^{1-r}B^{qr}\neq 0$ and $x^r\phi(x)^{(q^2-1)/d}$ permutes U_n.*

8 Conclusions

By constructing two commutative diagrams, we present new classes of permutation polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. These results unify and generalize some families of permutation polynomials. The idea and technology of this paper is expected to be extended to other finite fields; for example, $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. These permutation polynomials may have many practical applications, including constructions of cryptographic functions, cyclic codes, difference sets, and sequences with good randomness.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the NSF of China (Grant Nos. 11371106, 11271142) and the Guangdong Provincial NSF (Grant No. S2012010009942).

References

1. Akbary A., Ghioca D., Wang Q.: On constructing permutations of finite fields. Finite Fields Appl. 17, 51–67 (2011).
2. Cao X., Hu L., Zha Z.: Constructing permutation polynomials from piecewise permutations. Finite Fields Appl. 26, 162–174 (2014).
3. Charpin P., Kyureghyan G.: When does $G(x)+\gamma Tr(H(x))$ permute $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$?. Finite Fields Appl. 15(5), 615–632 (2009).
4. Ding C., Xiang Q., Yuan J., Yuan P.: Explicit classes of permutation polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_{3^{3m}}$. Sci. China Ser. A 53(4), 639–647 (2009).
5. Ding C., Zhou Z.: Binary cyclic codes from explicit polynomials over GF(2^m). Discrete. Math. 321, 76–89 (2014).
6. Fernando N., Hou X.: A piecewise construction of permutation polynomial over finite fields. Finite Fields Appl. 18, 1184–1194 (2012).
7. Hou X.: A new approach to permutation polynomials over finite fields. Finite Fields Appl., 18(3), 492–521 (2012).
8. Hou X.: Permutation polynomials over finite fields – A survey of recent advances. Finite Fields Appl., 32, 82–119 (2015).
9. Laigle-Chapuy Y.: Permutation polynomials and applications to coding theory. Finite Fields Appl. 13(1), 58–70 (2007).
10. Li N., Helleseth T., Tang X.: Further results on a class of permutation polynomials over finite fields. Finite Fields Appl. 22, 16–23 (2013).
11. Lidl R., Mullen G.L.: When does a polynomial over a finite field permute the elements of the field?. Am. Math. Mon. 95(3), 243–246 (1988).

12. Lidl R., Mullen G.L.: When does a polynomial over a finite field permute the elements of the field? II. Am. Math. Mon. 100(1), 71–74 (1993).
13. Lidl R., Müller W.B.: Permutation polynomials in RSA-cryptosystems. In: Advances in Cryptology, pp. 293–301. Plenum Press, New York (1984).
14. Lidl R., Niederreiter H.: Finite Fields. Cambridge University Press, (1997).
15. Mullen G.L.: Permutation polynomials over Finite Fields. In: Finite Fields, Coding Theory, and Advances in Commun. and Computing, PP. 131–151. Dekker, (1993).
16. Mullen G.L., Panario D.: Handbook of Finite Fields. CRC Press, (2013).
17. Niederreiter H., Robinson K.H.: Complete mappings of finite fields. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 33, 197–212 (1982).
18. Rivest R.L., Shamir A., Adelman L.M.: A method for obtaining digital signatures and public-key cryptosystems. Commun. ACM 21, 120–126 (1978).
19. Shaheen R., Winterhof A.: Permutations of finite fields for check digit systems. Des. Codes Cryptogr. 57, 361–371 (2010).
20. Singh R.P., Saikia A., Sarma B.K.: Poly-dragon: an efficient multivariate public key cryptosystem. J. Math. Cryptol. 4, 349–364 (2011).
21. Tu Z., Zeng X., Hu L.: Several classes of complete permutation polynomials. Finite Fields Appl. 25, 182–193 (2014).
22. Wang Q.: Cyclotomy and permutation polynomials of large indices. Finite Fields Appl. 22, 57–69 (2013).
23. Wu B.: The compositional inverse of a class of linearized permutation polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{2n}$, n odd. Finite Fields Appl. 29, 34–48 (2014).
24. Yuan J., Ding C., Wang H., Pieprzyk J.: Permutation polynomials of the form $(x^p - x + \delta)^s + L(x)$. Finite Fields Appl. 14, 482–493 (2008).
25. Yuan P., Ding C.: Permutation polynomials over finite fields from a powerful lemma. Finite Fields Appl. 17, 560–574 (2011).
26. Yuan P., Ding C.: Further results on permutation polynomials over finite fields. Finite Fields Appl. 27, 88–103 (2014).
27. Yuan P., Zheng Y.: Permutation polynomials from piecewise functions. Finite Fields Appl. 35, 215–230 (2015).
28. Zeng X., Zhu X., Hu L.: Two new permutation polynomials with the form $(x^{2^k} + x + \delta)^s + x$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 21, 145–150 (2010).
29. Zha Z., Hu L.: Two classes of permutation polynomials over finite fields. Finite Fields Appl. 18, 781–790 (2012).
30. Zha Z., Hu L., Cao X.: Constructing permutations and complete permutations over finite fields via subfield-valued polynomials. Finite Fields Appl., 31, 162–177 (2015).
31. Zheng Y.: A new class of permutation polynomials over finit fields, to appear.
32. Zheng Y., Yuan P., Pei D.: Piecewise constructions of inverses of some permutation polynomials. Finite Fields Appl. 36, 151–169 (2015).
33. Zieve M.E.: On some permutation polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the form $x^r h(x^{(q-1)/d})$. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137, 209–216 (2009).